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#### Abstract

A generalization of the Biot problem [1] of the propagation of elastic waves along a cylindrical cavity with a free boundary is considered. It is assumed that there is a layer on the boundary described by boundary conditions of two kinds: (1) a Winkler-type foundation, and (2) a layer with inertial resistance. It is found that in the limiting case of a cavity of infinitely large radius or a frequency that increases without limit, the frequency equation is of the same accuracy as that for a half-space obtained in [2].


SUPPOSE the axis of a cylindrical cavity of radius $a$ coincides with the $z$ axis, the reaction of the medium to a radial perturbation is similar to the reaction of a Winkler foundation, and the shear stresses are zero. This can be either a continuous cylinder made of a Winkler-type material, filling the cylindrical cavity, or a layer which coats the cavity and has the properties of a linear reaction. Consider the propagation of a stationary elastic wave with a phase velocity $p$ parallel to the $z$ axis, which is the axis of symmetry of the motion. We will solve this problem using a scalar potential $\Phi$ and a vector potential $\Psi$, which satisfy the wave equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla-\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}} \partial_{\tau}^{2}\right) \Phi=0,\left(\nabla-\frac{1}{r^{2}}-\frac{1}{c_{2}^{2}} \partial_{\tau}^{2}\right) \Psi=0 \\
& \nabla=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are the velocities of propagation of longitudinal and transverse waves, respectively.
The displacements $u_{r}$ and $u_{z}$ and the non-zero stresses $\sigma_{n}$ and $\sigma_{r z}$ are given by the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{r}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}-\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z}, u_{z}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r \Psi}{\partial r} \\
& \sigma_{r r}=2 \mu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial r \partial z}\right)+\frac{\rho\left(c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}^{2}\right)}{c_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial r^{2}}  \tag{2}\\
& \sigma_{r z}=2 \mu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial r \partial z}-\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\rho \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial t^{2}} ; \mu=\rho c_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We will seek the potentials $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ in the form

$$
\Phi=A H(r) \cos k(z-p t), \Psi=B G(r) \sin k(z-p t)
$$

Substituting $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ into the wave equations we obtain the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi=A K_{\mathrm{0}}\left(\nu_{1} r\right) \cos k(z-p t), \quad \Psi=B K_{1}\left(\nu_{2} r\right) \sin k(z-p t)  \tag{3}\\
& \nu_{i}=k\left(1-\alpha_{i}^{1 / 2}, \alpha_{i}=p^{2} / c l, i=1,2\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are modified Bessel functions of the second kind, chosen taking the radiation condition at infinity into account.

The boundary conditions

$$
r=a, \sigma_{r r}=\eta u_{r,}, \sigma_{r z}=0
$$

( $\eta$ is the rigidity of the Winkler foundation), when relations (2) and (3) are taken into account, lead to a system of linear homogeneous equations for $A$ and $B$. The condition for the solution of this system to be non-trivial gives the following characteristic equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(2-\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} \xi_{1}-4 \sqrt{1-\alpha_{2}^{2}} \sqrt{1-\alpha_{1}^{2}} \xi_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}^{2}(k a)^{-1} \sqrt{1-\alpha_{1}^{2}}-\eta \alpha_{2}^{2}\left(k_{\mu}\right)^{-1} \sqrt{1-\alpha_{1}^{2}}=0 \\
& \xi_{i}=K_{0}\left(\nu_{i} a\right) / K_{1}\left(\nu_{i} a\right), i=1,2 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

which connects the phase velocity with the frequency $\omega=k p$ If the wavelength is very small, so that $\lambda / a \rightarrow 0$, then $a k=2 \pi a / \lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and Eq. (4), taking the asymptotic expression $K_{n}(z) \sim(\pi /(2 z))^{1 / 2} e^{-z}$ into account reduces to the following form as $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2-\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}-4 \sqrt{1-\alpha_{1}^{2}} \sqrt{1-\alpha_{2}^{2}}-\eta c_{2} \alpha_{2}^{3}\left(\omega_{\mu}\right)^{-1} \sqrt{1-\alpha_{1}^{2}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4) differs from the frequency equation obtained by Biot in the presence of the last term, which changes the set of possible frequencies. Hence, for the limiting case, Eq. (5) differs from the Rayleigh equation to which the Biot equation reduces due to the presence of the last term. This limiting case [1] denotes that for very small wavelengths the curvature of the cavity can be ignored, i.e. the velocity of the wave propagation is determined as for an elastic half-space.

For a mixed-type support the boundary conditions have the form ( $\eta_{1}$ is the rigidity of the foundation and $m_{1}$ is the inertial resistance)

$$
r=a, \sigma_{r r}=\eta_{1} u_{r}+m_{1} \partial^{2} u_{r} / \partial t^{2}, \sigma_{r z}=0
$$

As before, we obtain a frequency equation which differs from (4) in that $\eta$ is replaced by $\eta_{1}-m_{1} \omega^{2}$, and for the limiting case when $\lambda / a \rightarrow 0$, we obtain an equation which differs from (5) by the fact that $\eta c_{2} \alpha_{2}^{3}$ is replaced by $\left(\eta_{1}-m_{1} \omega^{2}\right) p \alpha_{2}^{2}$.

The last equation does not allow [2] the existence of Rayleigh-type motion when

$$
\left(\eta_{1} / \omega-m_{1} \omega\right) c_{2} \geqslant \mu R(1) /\left(\alpha_{2}^{3} \sqrt{1-\alpha_{1}^{2}}\right) \equiv W
$$

where $R$ is the Rayleigh operator. This quadratic inequality for each fixed value of $r$ has two roots, one of which is $\omega_{k}>0$. For frequencies $\omega \leqslant \omega_{k}$ Rayleigh motion does not occur (low frequencies are cut-off). Cut-off of low frequencies ( $\omega \leqslant \eta_{1} c_{2} / W$ ) also occurs when $m_{1}=0$. If $\eta_{1}=0$, there is no frequency cut-off.

The equation of the frequencies for a mixed type of support was solved numerically for $\eta_{1}=\eta_{1}^{*}=$ $5.7 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and $m_{1}=m^{*}=1.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{kgf}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, which corresponds approximately to the modelling of a concrete tunnel of radius $a=3 \mathrm{~m}$ and thickness $h=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, situated in a granite medium ( $v=0.3$, and $E=2.9 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).

Figure 1 shows the phase velocity of the wave propagation $p$ (divided by $c_{2}$ ) as a function of the wavelength $\lambda$ (divided by the cavity diameter $D$ ). In all cases $p \rightarrow c_{2}$ as $\omega \rightarrow 0(\lambda \rightarrow \infty)$. In the case of a Winkler foundation and when there is no inertial resistance, we cannot have $\alpha_{2}<0.928$, whereas for a rigidity $\eta_{1}$ equal to zero, all values of the phase velocity from 0 to $c_{2}$ are possible.

It can be seen that the relative velocity of the wave propagation $\alpha_{2}$ in the case of a Winkler-type

foundation when $\eta_{1}=\eta_{1}^{*}$ and $m_{1}=0$ (the upper dashed curve) increases with $\lambda / D$ much more rapidly than given by the Biot model with $\eta_{1}=0, m_{1}=0$ (the dash-dot curve).

For an inertial foundation (the lower dashed curve with $\eta_{1}=0, m_{1}=m_{1}^{*}$ ) a qualitatively different relationship between $\alpha_{2}$ and $\lambda D$ is found: there is no lower limit on the value of $\alpha_{2}$.

The continuous curve ( $\eta_{1}=\eta_{1}^{*}, m_{1}=m_{1}^{*}$ ) illustrates the combined effect of a Winkler and an inertial foundation on the value of $\alpha_{2}$, and in this case there is no lower limit to the value of the velocity of the wave propagation $p$.

When there is inertial resistance $\left(m_{1}=m_{1}^{*}\right)$ a Winkler-type foundation does not change the curve of $\alpha_{2}$ against $\lambda / D$; this was shown by comparing the two lower curves.

It should be noted that the presence of a Winkler support or inertial resistance gives a continuous frequency spectrum, unlike the previous case (a cavity of infinitely large radius)-the Rayleigh equation.

When

$$
r=a, \sigma_{r r}=0, \sigma_{r z}=\eta_{2} u_{z}+m_{2} \partial^{2} u_{z} / \partial t^{2}
$$

the frequency equation differs from (4) in that the last term on the left-hand side is replaced by

$$
-\left(\eta_{2}-m_{2} \omega^{2}\right)(k \mu)^{-1} \alpha_{2} \sqrt{1-\alpha_{2}^{2}} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+O(a k)
$$

where

$$
O(a k)=2\left(\eta_{2}-m_{2} \omega^{2}\right)\left(\mu a k^{2}\right)^{-2}\left[\xi_{2} \sqrt{1-\alpha_{1}^{2}} \sqrt{1-\alpha_{2}^{2}}-\xi_{1}\right] \rightarrow 0
$$

as $a k \rightarrow \infty$.
In the limiting case of short waves, we have an equation which differs from (5) in having $\eta$ replaced by $\eta_{2}-m_{2} \omega^{2}$, which agrees with the equation investigated previously [2].
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